REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ADVISORY ACTION EXPEDITED PROCEDURES EXAMINING GROUP 1722

PATENT 0630-1953P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Jae-Dong YOON et al.

Conf. No.:

6483

Application No.:

10/772,315

Group:

1722

Filed:

February 6, 2004

Examiner:

M.V. Ewald

For:

INJECTION MOLD, MOLDING SYSTEM HAVING INJECTION MOLD,

METHOD THEREOF, AND MOLDED PRODUCT

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF ENTRY OF AMENDMENT PER ADVISORY ACTION

MS AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Advisory Action dated January 24, 2007, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

Applicants note that no fee is due to be paid with this request, because there is no time period set for responding to the Advisory Action, with respect to which reconsideration is requested.

Application No.: 10/772,315 Attorney Docket No. 0630-1953P Art Unit 1722

Reconsideration of January 24, 2007 Advisory Action

Page 2

Background

On April 25, 2006, Applicants filed an Amendment under 37 CFR §1.111, which

included new claim 23. That Amendment was entered as a matter of right under the explicit

provisions of 37 CRR §1.111.

On May 8, 2006, a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was mailed.

On July 3, 2006, a Letter Withdrawing a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, which

stated that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was sent in error, was mailed to Applicant.

On August 28, 2006, an Office Action stated to be responsive to the Amendment filed on

April 25, 2006, was mailed. This Office Action did not discuss or treat Claim 23 on its merits.

On December 28, 2006, Applicants filed an Amendment which amended certain claims,

canceled other claims, and added other claims. The Amendment pointed out that, because claim

23 had not been treated on its merits in the Office Action, dated August 28, 2006, that Office

Action could not be properly made final and, as a result, the Amendment filed on December 28,

2006 had to be entered and its merits acted upon based on the provisions applicable to non-final

Office Actions and responses thereto, i.e., 37 CFR §1.111.

Discussion

The outstanding Advisory Action, dated January 24, 2007, does not even address the

aforementioned basis for withdrawing the finality of the Office Action dated August 28, 2006,

and for entering the Amendment filed on December 28, 2006, contrary to the explicit

requirement in MPEP §707.07(f) to respond to all arguments made by Applicant on their merits.

Application No.: 10/772,315

Art Unit 1722

Attorney Docket No. 0630-1953P Reconsideration of January 24, 2007 Advisory Action

Page 3

For this reason alone, the Advisory Action is improper, and should be withdrawn.

Additionally, the failure to enter the Amendment filed on December 28, 2006 is improper

for the detailed, factual reasons summarized above, and stated in detail in the Amendment filed

on December 28, 2006.

Relief Sought

Applicants respectfully request that the Office consider the merits of the aforementioned

arguments directed to why the finality of the Office Action of August 28, 2006 be withdrawn, in

compliance with MPEP §707.07(f), that the Amendment filed on December 28, 2006 be entered,

and that the next Office Action treat the entire Amendment filed on December 28, 2006,

including claim 23, on its merits.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Robert J. Webster, Registration

No. 46,472, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Application No.: 10/772,315

Art Unit 1722

Attorney Docket No. 0630-1953P Reconsideration of January 24, 2007 Advisory Action

Page 4

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Request for Reconsideration are respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Date: FEB 0 8 2007

JTE/RJW/jmb

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

J

mes T. Eller, Jr.

Reg. No.: 39,538

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone:(703)205-8000